

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/460,951	12/14/1999	CARLINO PANZERA	JEN-0005-Z	3152
23413 75	90 07/19/2004		EXAMINER	
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH			HOFFMANN, JOHN M	
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/460,951 F	PANZERA ET AL.
Office Action Summary Examiner	Art Unit
	731
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the cor Period for Reply	respondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days with 15 MO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the 16 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	filed ill be considered timely. mailing date of this communication. 35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 July 2003. This action is FINAL. This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prose closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 	
Disposition of Claims	
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 	•
Application Papers	
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 3 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Actions. 	7 CFR 1.85(a). eted to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	No
Attachment(s) 1) \[\sum \text{Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)} \] 4) \[\sum \text{Interview Summary (PTO-892)} \]	TO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	

Application/Control Number: 09/460,951

Art Unit: 1731

Δ.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frank 5698019 or Germany 1441336 in view of Chemical Abswtracts 120 (M.Y. Shareef et al).

See previous rejection for the manner in which the art is applied.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 30 July 2003 and have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is argued that Frank does not have an absolute crystal size of less then 10 microns. This does not appear to be true. But is it is, it is not relevant because the claim makes no mention of "absolute" crystal size. The same applies to the arguments regarding the German patent.

IT is further argued that Frank does not enable one to make the invention. Frank is a valid patent. If it was not enabled, then there would be no patent. Therefore Frank is enabled. However, if Applicant has any evidence/rationale as to why Frank is not enabled, such will be considered.

Application/Control Number: 09/460,951

Art Unit: 1731

As to the allegation that the German patent does not enable one to make and use the invention – namely the small particles. The arguments are not convincing.

Most notably, at the bottom of page 9 of the specification – applicant states that one of ordinary skill knows how to isolate the small diameter particles. Clearly one of ordinary skill can get whatever sized particle he desires.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Hoffmann whose telephone number is (571) 272 1191. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00- 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/460,951

Art Unit: 1731

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John∖Hdffmann / Primary ⊞xaminer

Art Unit 1לא

jmh